

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/058,804

Applicant(s)

DELMOT ET AL.

Examiner

Arnold M Kinhead

Art Unit

2817

All Participants:

(1) Arnold M Kinhead.

(2) Mr. Kelly G. Hyndman(39,234).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd para

Claims discussed:

1-6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed the 112, 2nd para issues and it was agreed that claim 1 does indeed need to be modified to bring it in line with claim 2 with regards the cascode transistors and the coupling recitation now being presented. This requires further consideration by examiner and the advisory is attached. Attorney stated that possible RCE may be filed so as to adress the issues..